IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Fund for Empowerment, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

City of Phoenix, et al.,

Defendants.

No. CV-22-02041-PHX-GMS

ORDER

After reviewing the Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 2), associated filings and affidavits, and the parties' arguments during the Preliminary Hearing on December 14, 2022, Plaintiffs' motion is granted in part and denied in part. The Court issues this abbreviated order in light of the Defendant City's upcoming enhanced cleaning, which is scheduled to take place on Friday, December 16, 2022. An order setting forth the Court's analysis of the parties' arguments and explaining the preliminary injunction below more fully will promptly issue, hereafter. Nevertheless, though Plaintiff's motion is granted in part, nothing in this Order or the forthcoming Order precludes the City from conducting its enhanced cleanup plans in the area referred to in the motions as "the Zone" on December 16, 2022, pursuant to the City's procedures and briefings as represented to the Court in this case.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction

(Doc. 2) is granted in part and denied in part.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting that the City, its agents and employees, are preliminarily enjoined from doing any of the following:

- 1. Enforcing the Camping and Sleeping Bans against individuals who practically cannot obtain shelter as long as there are more unsheltered individuals in Phoenix than there are shelter beds available;
- 2. Seizing any property of the unsheltered without providing prior notice at the property's location that the property will be seized, unless the agent or employee has an objectively reasonable belief that it is (a) abandoned, (b) presents an immediate threat to public health or safety, or (c) is evidence of a crime or contraband; and
- 3. Absent an immediate threat to public health or safety, destroying said property without maintaining it in a secure location for a period of less than 30 days.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if property is seized pursuant to a belief that it was abandoned or after the City provides notice that it intends to seize the property, the City, its agents and employees, are further required to provide a notice at the location from which the property was seized, calculated to be readily seen by any owner of the property, describing how and where to retrieve the property and the deadline for retrieving it.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion for Leave to File amicus brief by Freddy Brown (Doc. 31) is granted.

Dated this 15th day of December, 2022.

G. Murray Snow

Chief United States District Judge