

1 BENBROOK LAW GROUP, PC
2 BRADLEY A. BENBROOK (SBN 177786)
3 STEPHEN M. DUVERNAY (SBN 250957)
4 701 University Avenue, Suite 106
5 Sacramento, CA 95825
6 Telephone: (916) 447-4900
7 brad@benbrooklawgroup.com

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Petitioner Goldwater Institute

9
10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

12 GOLDWATER INSTITUTE,
13
14 Plaintiff/Petitioner,
15 v.
16 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
17 CALIFORNIA,
18 Defendant/Respondent.

Case No.: **26STCP01207**

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND
VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF
MANDATE**

1 **INTRODUCTION**

2 1. This is a lawsuit to enforce the right to inspect public records pursuant to Article I,
3 § 3 of the California Constitution and the California Public Records Act (“CPRA”), Cal. Gov’t Code
4 § 7921.000 *et seq.* Plaintiff Goldwater Institute (“Goldwater”), a Phoenix, AZ nonprofit, requested
5 records from Defendant, the Regents of the University of California, specifically the University of
6 California, Los Angeles (“UCLA” or “Defendant”), relating to UCLA “Activist-in-Residence” Lisa
7 Gray-Garcia. Gray-Garcia is a “poverty scholar” who, consistent with the stated goals of UCLA’s
8 Activist in Residence program, engages in “power-shifting scholarship and pedagogy focused on
9 social change.”

10 2. Goldwater sought six categories of documents relating to Gray-Garcia’s contractual
11 relationship with UCLA, her course materials, and certain communications she has made. Despite
12 follow-up from Goldwater over several months and a commitment from UCLA to produce
13 documents as soon as they are available, UCLA has not produced any documents in response to
14 Goldwater’s records request. UCLA continues without lawful justification to withhold records that
15 indisputably are subject to timely production under the California Public Records Act (“CPRA”).
16 Accordingly, Goldwater requires this Court’s intervention and an award of attorney fees and costs.

17 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

18 3. This Court has jurisdiction under Government Code §§ 7923.000, 7923.100; Code of
19 Civil Procedure §§ 1060, 1085; and Article VI, section 10 of the California Constitution.

20 4. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§ 393 and 401(1)
21 because the records in question, or some portion of them, are situated in the City & County of Los
22 Angeles; the acts or omissions complained of occurred in this County; UCLA is in Los Angeles; and
23 the California Attorney General has an office in the County.

24 **PARTIES**

25 5. Plaintiff Goldwater is a nonprofit organization headquartered in Phoenix, AZ, that is
26 dedicated to advancing the principles of limited government, economic freedom, and individual
27 liberty, with a focus on education, free speech, healthcare, equal protection, property rights,
28 occupational licensing, and constitutional limits.

1 group demonstrating on a sidewalk. At one point, she speaks to a large tree nearby and says, “Today
2 we are here for you, because you don’t follow the colonial maps that say this is Pacific Palisades, or
3 L.A., or Santa Monica, or any of the other names the . . . hoarders or the settlers have given us.” *Id.*
4 at 02:16.

5 11. Gray-Garcia has posted on X repeatedly in condemnation of Israel and zionism. In
6 one post, she referred to the “incarcerat[ion]” of indigenous Palestinian people on their homelands
7 since “the Occupation of Israel.” Lisa “Tiny” Gray Garcia (@PovertySkola), X
8 (Oct. 14, 2023, at 13:18 CT), <https://x.com/PovertySkola/status/1713258108317995036/photo/1>. In
9 another, she called for an end to “violet colonial corpRape extraction & extinction . . . [in] Palestine.”
10 *Id.*, X (Nov. 13, 2023, at 09:19 CT), <https://x.com/PovertySkola/status/1724084573586538886>. She
11 has also referred to Israel as “amerikkklan,”¹ a “kolonizer,”² and the perpetrator of “genocide.”³ On
12 the home front, she has called the University of California, San Francisco a “Zionist funded
13 akkkademik institution.” *Id.*, X (May 16, 2024, at 19:51 CT),
14 <https://x.com/PovertySkola/status/1791270338103545907>.

15 12. On October 31, 2025, Goldwater submitted a request to UCLA seeking six categories
16 of public records relating to UCLA Activist-in-Residence Lisa Gray-Garcia.

17 13. The public records request sought records reflecting: “(1) Any contract or agreements
18 between [UCLA] or any of its affiliated entities and Activist-in-Residence Lisa Gray-Garcia[;] (2)
19 Records of salary and any compensation information for Ms. Gray-Garcia[;] (3) All course syllabi
20 prepared or issued by Ms. Gray-Garcia[;] (4) All course materials prepared or distributed by Ms.
21 Gray-Garcia, including but not limited to handouts, lecture slides, assignments, and readings[;] (5)
22 Any emails on UCLA servers to or from Lisa Gray-Garcia that include any of the words ‘Israel,’
23 ‘Palestine,’ ‘Gaza,’ ‘Palestinians,’ ‘genocide,’ ‘Zion,’ or ‘Zionist’ from January 1, 2023 to present[;
24 and] (6) All materials provided in the 2024 ‘Residence Orientation’ for Activists-in-Residence.”
25

26 ¹ Lisa “Tiny” Gray Garcia (@PovertySkola), X (Mar. 31, 2018, at 11:33 CT),
<https://x.com/PovertySkola/status/980120991014707200>.

27 ² *Id.*, X (Mar. 30, 2018, at 15:45 CT), <https://x.com/PovertySkola/status/979821850778746880>.

28 ³ *Id.*, X (Feb. 31, 2019, at 08:59 CT), <https://x.com/PovertySkola/status/1091350417542389761>.

1 (See copy of records request, attached as **Exhibit 1**).

2 14. Additionally, Goldwater requested that the records be provided “on a rolling or
3 continuing basis as the records are available.” (Ex. 1).

4 15. On November 10, 2025, UCLA, through its employee Finex Ngai, Office
5 Coordinator in the UCLA Information Practices office, responded to the request (assigned the
6 reference number PRR #25-6231) by (1) stating that UCLA Information Practices continued to work
7 on the public records request, (2) providing an estimated delivery date of January 30, 2026, and (3)
8 noting that potential costs could not be provided as “the records [were] still being compiled and/or
9 reviewed.” (See copy of response to records request, attached as **Exhibit 2**).

10 16. On November 14, 2025, Goldwater wrote back to Ngai noting that its request was
11 “limited and specific, and many responsive records . . . should be easily located and produced well
12 before the end of January.” (See copy of Goldwater letter dated November 14, 2025, attached as
13 **Exhibit 3**). As such, Goldwater reiterated the request for production of all readily available records
14 without delay, and for additional documents to be provided on a rolling basis. (Ex. 3).

15 17. UCLA has not disputed that the records request sought public records, and UCLA
16 has not asserted that any of the responsive public records could properly be withheld.

17 18. Instead, as described below, UCLA has repeatedly sought to delay dealing with the
18 requests. On November 18, 2025, Robert Baldrige, director of the UCLA Information Practices
19 office, responded by describing the “very time-consuming process” involved in finding, reviewing,
20 and producing records and stating that “the estimated availability date we provided is both
21 reasonable and fully in keeping with the CPRA.” (See copy of email correspondence dated
22 November 18, 2025, attached as **Exhibit 4**).

23 19. On January 30, 2026, UCLA’s Information Practices Department advised that “the
24 review process has not yet been completed” and pushed out the “estimated availability date to
25 February 27, 2026.” (See copy of email correspondence dated January 30, 2026, attached as **Exhibit**
26 **5**.)

27 20. However, no documents were produced on February 27, 2026. Instead, on February
28 27, 2026, the UCLA Information Practices office simply notified Goldwater that the review process

1 had not been completed and provided a new estimated production date of March 13, 2026. (*See* copy
2 of email correspondence dated February 27, 2026, attached as **Exhibit 6**).

3 21. March 13 came and went with no further word from UCLA. Now, more than four
4 months after UCLA acknowledged receiving the public records request, UCLA has failed to produce
5 a single public record. It has also failed to offer any justification for its failure other than
6 administrative burden (again, it has notably failed to assert that any of these public records are
7 properly being withheld). It is apparent that UCLA does not intend to comply with its obligation to
8 provide the public records at issue in this request.

9 22. An actual controversy exists between the parties concerning whether UCLA engaged
10 in conduct that violates statutory requirements of the CPRA and the California Constitution. A
11 judicial determination to resolve this actual controversy is necessary and appropriate as soon as
12 possible.

13 23. Goldwater therefore seeks a writ of mandate along with declaratory and injunctive
14 relief to enforce its right to inspect and receive public records under California’s public records laws.

15 **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

16 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **Writ of Mandate, Gov. Code § 7923.000; Code Civ. Proc. § 1085**

18 **Violation of Cal. Const., art. 1, § 3(b); Gov. Code § 7920.000, et seq.**

19 24. Goldwater incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though set forth here in full.

20 25. The CPRA provides that “access to information concerning the conduct of the
21 people’s business is a fundamental and necessary right of every person in this state.” Gov. Code
22 § 7921.000. “The [CPRA] and the California Constitution provide the public with a broad right of
23 access to government information. . . . Modeled after the federal Freedom of Information Act (5
24 U.S.C. § 522 et seq.), the [CPRA] was enacted for the purpose of increasing freedom of information
25 by giving members of the public access to records in the possession of state and local agencies. Such
26 ‘access to information concerning the conduct of the people’s business,’ the Legislature declared,
27 ‘is a fundamental and necessary right of every person in this state.’” *Los Angeles County Bd. of*
28 *Supervisors v. Super. Ct.*, 2 Cal. 5th 282, 290 (2016) (citations omitted).

1 26. In 2004, voters passed Proposition 59, which “enshrined the [CPRA]’s right of access
2 to information in the state Constitution.” *Los Angeles Cnty. Bd. Of Supervisors*, 2 Cal. 5th at 290.
3 The California Constitution now provides an independent right of access to government records:
4 “The people have the right of access to information concerning the conduct of the people’s business,
5 and, therefore, the meetings of public bodies and the writings of public officials and agencies shall
6 be open to public scrutiny.” Cal. Const. Art. 1 § 3(b)(1). This provision was adopted because
7 Californians increasingly found that answers to the questions of their government were hard to get,
8 and therefore, this provision was intended to reverse that trend.

9 27. The purpose of these provisions is to “give the public access to information that
10 enables them to monitor the functioning of their government.” *CBS, Inc. v. Block*, 42 Cal. 3d 646,
11 651 (1986).

12 28. Under the CPRA, records that are prepared, owned, used or retained by a public
13 agency, and not subject to the CPRA’s exemptions, must be made publicly available for inspection
14 and copying upon request. Gov. Code § 7922.525(a)–(b).

15 29. The presumption is that “all governmental records are available to any person” unless
16 the agency demonstrates that nondisclosure is warranted. *ACLU v. Super. Ct.*, 202 Cal.App.4th 55,
17 85 (2011); *see also* Gov. Code § 7922.000(a). The person requesting public records is not required
18 to precisely identify the documents sought, and instead, the writings can be described by their
19 content. *California First Amendment Coalition v. Super. Ct.*, 67 Cal.App.4th 159, 165–66 (1998);
20 *see also* Gov’t Code § 7922.530(a).

21 30. It is the agency’s responsibility to determine if any of the writings described by the
22 requesting party exist, and whether any exemption applies. *Cal. First Amendment Coalition*, 67
23 Cal.App.4th at 165. The CPRA also requires that agencies make public records available to
24 requesting parties “promptly.” Gov. Code § 7922.530(a).

25 31. It is unlawful for an agency to “delay or obstruct the inspection of public records.”
26 Gov’t Code § 7922.500. If an agency does withhold responsive documents because of a statutory
27 exemption, “the agency ... must disclose that fact.” *Haynie v. Superior Court* 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1072
28 (2001). And if portions of a document are exempt, the agency must disclose the remainder of the

1 document. Gov't Code § 7922.525.

2 32. As set forth above, UCLA has violated California's public records laws. The
3 University of California is a public agency. The agreements, records, documents, and emails of
4 public agencies, produced either directly or vicariously through their employees such as UCLA
5 Activist-in-Residence Lisa Gray-Garcia, plainly constitute public records. *See, e.g., City of San Jose*
6 *v. Super. Ct.*, 2 Cal. 5th 608, 622–25 (2017).

7 33. UCLA has a clear, present, ministerial duty to respond to requests for public records
8 and to search for and disclose all nonexempt records pursuant to the California Public Records Act,
9 Gov. Code § 7920.000 *et seq.*, and Article I, § 3 of the California Constitution. UCLA has defied
10 California's public records laws by denying Goldwater access to public information through its
11 refusal to release disclosable records to the public.

12 34. UCLA's failure to provide all disclosable records in response to Goldwater's public
13 records request violates Article 1, section 3(b) of the California Constitution and the CPRA.

14 35. Goldwater is entitled to enforce its rights under California's public records laws
15 through a petition for writ of mandate. Gov. Code § 7923.000 (CPRA); Code Civ. Proc § 1085
16 (California Constitution).

17 36. Issuance of a writ of mandate compelling UCLA to perform its duties under the
18 CPRA and the California Constitution is required because there exists no plain, speedy, and adequate
19 remedy in the ordinary course of law that would protect Goldwater's rights and interests to the
20 information sought here.

21 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **Declaratory and Injunctive Relief**

23 **Gov. Code § 7923.000; Code Civ. Proc. § 1060**

24 37. Goldwater incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though set forth here in full.

25 38. As set forth above, UCLA has violated California's public records laws. The
26 University of California is a public agency. The agreements, records, documents, and emails of
27 public agencies, produced either directly or vicariously through their employees, plainly constitute
28 public records.

1 39. UCLA is obligated to respond to requests for public records and to search for and
2 disclose all nonexempt records pursuant to the California Public Records Act, Gov. Code § 7920.000
3 *et seq.*, and Article I, § 3 of the California Constitution.

4 40. Ms. Gray-Garcia is an employee of the University of California, Los Angeles, which
5 is a public agency.

6 41. Goldwater has the right under the California Constitution and the CPRA to inspect
7 the information and writings of a public agency through its employee.

8 42. Goldwater’s request sufficiently described the content of the writings, to wit,
9 agreements, compensation records, course syllabi, program materials, and copies of emails with
10 specified keywords, each as related to Ms. Gray-Garcia.

11 43. UCLA had a responsibility under the CPRA to make non-exempt records available
12 promptly.

13 44. UCLA has not disclosed whether any of the documents are exempted under the
14 statute, and therefore, there is a presumption that all the documents UCLA has collected thus far are
15 responsive and not subject to any statutory exemptions.

16 45. UCLA has violated the CPRA by not making the responsive and non-exempt
17 documents and writings available promptly. This violation constitutes a delay and obstruction of
18 Goldwater’s public records request in violation of the CPRA.

19 46. Goldwater is entitled to enforce its rights under California’s public records laws
20 through a proceeding for declaratory or injunctive relief. Gov. Code § 7923.000 (CPRA); Code Civ.
21 Proc § 1060 (California Constitution); *see also Knox v. Wolfe*, 73 Cal. App. 2d 494, 505 (1946) (“In
22 an action for declaratory relief an injunction ancillary to the relief sought is appropriate and may
23 issue to preserve the rights of the parties.”).

24 47. Goldwater is therefore entitled to a declaration that UCLA has violated the CPRA
25 and California Constitution by failing to make non-exempt records available promptly and an
26 injunction compelling UCLA to immediately search for and produce all responsive records.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Goldwater prays for judgment as follows:

A. For issuances of a writ of mandate directing UCLA to comply fully and without further delay with the CPRA and to furnish to Goldwater all public documents meeting the description in its request.

B. In the alternative, issue an order to UCLA to show cause why the Court should not issue such a writ and thereafter issue a peremptory writ compelling UCLA to perform its public duty as set for the above.

C. For a declaration that Goldwater’s request sought records subject to mandatory disclosure; that Goldwater’s request imposed a duty upon UCLA to promptly provide public and non-exempt records in response; that UCLA failed to satisfy its duty by withholding non-exempt records; and that UCLA’s conduct denying access to disclosable public records violates the California Constitution Article 1, Section 3, and Government Code § 7921.000 *et seq.*

D. Enter judgment in Goldwater’s favor for nominal damages.

E. Award Goldwater reasonable attorney’s fees and costs as authorized by Government Code § 7923.115.

F. Order such additional relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: March 24, 2026

BENBROOK LAW GROUP, PC

By s/ Bradley A. Benbrook
BRADLEY A. BENBROOK
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Petitioner

1 **VERIFICATION**

2 I, Kamron Kompani, declare:

3 I am an agent of the Goldwater Institute, and am authorized to make this verification on its
4 behalf. I have read the foregoing Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Verified
5 Petition for Writ of Mandate and know the contents thereof. The factual matters concerning the
6 organization's experience stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge. The
7 remaining matters are stated on information and belief, and, as to those matters, I believe them to
8 be true.

9 Executed March 24, 2026.

10 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United
11 States that the foregoing is true and correct.

12 Goldwater Institute

13
14 By: s/ Kamron Kompani
Kamron Kompani

15 Title: Legal Programs Manager
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1

From: [Kris Schlott](#)
To: records@ucla.edu
Cc: [Kamron Kompani](#)
Subject: Public Records Request - Activist-in-Residence Lisa Gray-Garcia
Date: Friday, October 31, 2025 9:56:50 AM
Attachments: [UCLA - Activist in Residence Lisa Gray Garcia - PRR.pdf](#)

Please see the attached public records request from Kamron Kompani.

Sincerely,

Kris Schlott

Paralegal

Goldwater Institute | www.GoldwaterInstitute.org | 602-462-5000

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this message is privileged and confidential. It is intended only to be read by the individual or entity named above or their designee. Any distribution of this message by any person who is not the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, do not read it. Please immediately notify the sender and delete it. Thank you.



October 31, 2025

Via Email Only

University of California Los Angeles (UCLA)
Information Practices Office (OIP)
Mail Code: 143348
10920 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 107
Los Angeles, CA 90024-6541
records@ucla.edu

Re: Public Records Request – Activist-in-Residence Lisa Gray-Garcia

To Whom It May Concern:

Under California Public Records Act § 7920.000 et. seq., we respectfully request the following public records in your custody:

- (1) Any contract or agreements between the University of California Los Angeles (“UCLA”) or any of its affiliated entities and Activist-in-Residence Lisa Gray-Garcia.**
- (2) Records of salary and any compensation information for Ms. Gray-Garcia.**
- (3) All course syllabi prepared or issued by Ms. Gray-Garcia.**
- (4) All course materials prepared or distributed by Ms. Gray-Garcia, including but not limited to handouts, lecture slides, assignments, and readings.**
- (5) Any emails on UCLA servers to or from Lisa Gray-Garcia that include any of the words “Israel,” “Palestine,” “Gaza,” “Palestinians,” “genocide,” “Zion,” or “Zionist” from January 1, 2023 to present.**
- (6) All materials provided in the 2024 “Residence Orientation” for Activists-in-Residence.**

The Goldwater Institute requests that the disclosure officer responsible for processing this request place an immediate hold on all records responsive or potentially responsive to this request, to prevent their disposal until a final determination has been issued and any administrative remedies or appeals have been exhausted.

University of California Los Angeles
Information Practices Office
October 31, 2025
Page 2 of 2

Electronic production of records and information is preferable and acceptable. We request your response as soon as possible. If responsive records cannot be produced within ten (10) business days, please contact me with your progress and expected completion date. If some records are available prior to the production of other records, please provide on a rolling or continuing basis as the records are available.

The responsive records for email correspondence described above should include complete email chains and any email attachments. These records are being sought for non-commercial purposes, but please notify me before processing the request if the fees will exceed \$100. I am happy to work with your office to fulfill this request.

Should you have any questions regarding this request, please do not hesitate to contact me at kkompani@goldwaterinstitute.org.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Kamron Kompani
Legal Programs Manager
Goldwater Institute American Freedom Network

EXHIBIT 2



INFORMATION PRACTICES
10920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 107
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024-6543

VIA EMAIL

November 10, 2025

Kamron Kompani
Goldwater Institute
Email: kkompani@goldwaterinstitute.org

Re: Public Records Request - PRR # 25-6231

Dear Kamron Kompani:

UCLA Information Practices (IP) continues to work on your public records request dated October 31, 2025, herein enclosed. As required under Cal. Gov't Code Section 7922.535 (*formerly 6253(c)*), we are now able to provide you with the estimated date that responsive documents will be made available to you, which is January 30, 2026.

As the records are still being compiled and/or reviewed, we are not able at this time to provide you with any potential costs, so that information will be furnished in a subsequent communication as soon as it is known.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (310) 794-8741 or via email at records@ucla.edu and reference the PRR number found above in the subject line.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Finex Ngai".

Finex Ngai
Office Coordinator, UCLA Information Practices
(310) 794-8741 | records@ucla.edu

EXHIBIT 3



November 14, 2025

Via Email Only

University of California Los Angeles (UCLA)
Information Practices Office (OIP)
Mail Code: 143348
10920 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 107
Los Angeles, CA 90024-6541
records@ucla.edu

Re: Public Records Request – PRR #25-6231

Dear Finex Ngai:

I write in response to your Letter of Estimated Availability dated November 10, 2025, indicating an estimated production date of January 30, 2026, for records responsive to the Goldwater Institute's ("Institute") October 31, 2025 public records request.

As you are aware, California law and public policy require open and transparent government. To that end, the California Public Records Act ("CPRA") provides for broad public access to records maintained by state agencies. Cal. Gov't Code § 7920.000 et seq.

The California Supreme Court has recognized that the CPRA reflects a clear legislative intent that agencies determine and fulfill disclosure obligations "expeditiously." *Filarsky v. Superior Court*, 28 Cal. 4th 419, 427 (2002). In addition, the CPRA requires that, except for records that are expressly exempt from disclosure, "each state or local agency, upon a request for a copy of records that reasonably describes an identifiable record or records, shall make the records promptly available" Cal. Gov't Code § 7922.530(a).

The Institute's request is limited and specific, and many responsive records such as contracts, compensation information, and syllabi should be easily located and produced well before the end of January. Given the limited scope of this request, we believe an earlier production schedule is reasonable and in keeping with the CPRA's mandate for prompt disclosure. We therefore respectfully request that UCLA produce all readily available records without delay, and that additional records be provided on a rolling basis as they are reviewed.

We appreciate your cooperation and hope to work with you to resolve this matter promptly. Please do not hesitate to contact me at kkompani@goldwaterinstitute.org with any questions.

UCLA Information Practices Office
November 14, 2025
Page 2 of 2

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'K. Kompani', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Kamron Kompani
Legal Programs Manager
Goldwater Institute American Freedom Network

EXHIBIT 4

From: [Baldrige, Robert](#)
To: [Kamron Kompani](#)
Cc: [Donmez, Ayse](#)
Subject: RE: Records Request -- Goldwater Institute (25-6231)
Date: 18 November 2025, 18:56:38
Attachments: [image002.jpg](#)
[Letter re Request # 25-6231.pdf](#)

Dear Kamron,

Please know that records requests are handled on a case-by-case basis, with the amount of time needed to respond being dependent on many factors, such as the number of document custodians involved, types and volume of records requested, location(s) of potentially responsive records, the requisite review by our office of every page of potentially responsive documents for legal privilege, and also the amount of other open requests already being processed by our office that came in prior, along with the volume of pages to review for each of those. Additionally, besides processing all requests made to UCLA under the California Public Records Act (CPRA), my office also processes subpoenas for UCLA records along with records requests made pursuant to other statutes/Acts, such as the California Labor Code, Information Practices Act, etc., along with assisting with the collection and production of records in relation to certain university litigation, and we only have a limited amount of staff to handle and process all the many hundreds upon hundreds of such records requests and subpoenas received each year.

Further, as mentioned above, upon collecting any and all records potentially responsive to a request made under the CPRA each and every document then needs to be carefully reviewed to not only ensure that it is indeed responsive but to determine if it or any material within it is subject to one or more of the exemptions to disclosure that exist under the CPRA (such as for attorney-client privilege, personal privacy, etc.), and if so, then redact accordingly. This, unfortunately, is a very time-consuming process.

Due to the reasons outlined above, the estimated availability date we provided is both reasonable and fully in keeping with the CPRA. We will produce records as soon as they are available, dependent on the process noted above.

Best regards,

Robert Baldrige
Director, UCLA Information Practices
(310) 794-8741

From: Kamron Kompani <kkompani@goldwaterinstitute.org>
Sent: November 14, 2025 10:22 AM
To: UCLA Public Records <pra@IPrecords.ucla.edu>
Subject: Re: Records Request -- Goldwater Institute (25-6231)

Dear Finex Ngai,

Please see the attached letter regarding request #25-6231.

Kamron Kompani
Legal Programs Manager
Goldwater Institute | www.GoldwaterInstitute.org | 602.462.5000

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this message is privileged and confidential. It is intended only to be read by the individual or entity named above or their designee. Any distribution of this message by any person who is not the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, do not read it. Please immediately notify the sender and delete it. Thank you.

From: UCLA Public Records

Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 6:10 PM

To: Kamron Kompani

Subject: Records Request -- Goldwater Institute (25-6231)

Dear Kamron Kompani,

Please see the attached Letter of Estimated Availability regarding your request, as well as your original request for your reference.

Best Regards,




records@ucla.edu

(310) 794-8741

<https://compliance.ucla.edu/information-practices>


EXHIBIT 5



Outlook

Re: Records Request -- Goldwater Institute (25-6231)

From UCLA Public Records <pra@IPrecords.ucla.edu>**Date** Fri 1/30/2026 6:45 PM**To** Kamron Kompani <kkompani@goldwaterinstitute.org>

 1 attachment (1 MB)
Request (25-6231).PDF;

Dear Kamron Kompani,

We apologize, but the review process has not yet been completed on the attached Public Records Act request of yours, and so we must revise the estimated availability date to February 27, 2026. Your patience is very much appreciated.

Best Regards,

records@ucla.edu

(310) 794-8741

<https://compliance.ucla.edu/information-practices>

EXHIBIT 6

From: UCLA Public Records <pra@iprecords.ucla.edu>
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2026 7:24 PM
To: Kamron Kompani <kkompani@goldwaterinstitute.org>
Subject: Re: Records Request -- Goldwater Institute (25-6231)

Dear Kamron Kompani,

We apologize, but the review process has not yet been completed on the attached Public Records Act request of yours, and so we must revise the estimated availability date to March 13, 2026. Your patience is very much appreciated.

Best Regards,



records@ucla.edu

(310) 794-8741

<https://compliance.ucla.edu/information-practices>